Dear Professor Philip Gorwood,

My colleague Dr. Rainer Kurz has forwarded your letter to him regarding concern and a resulting complaint about the abstracts and posters of his authorship in the recent 2019 Warsaw EPA conference.

Dr. Kurz and I, Mr. Declan Howard, co-authored the first presentation named in the letter titled "Beyond the extreme abuse survey: Exploring technological, social, non-physical, bio-energetic and criminological aspects". Therefore I am also writing to address the complaint and the concern expressed by the letter.

In the letter it was stated that "The substance of the complaint is that the abstracts’ content adheres neither to the standards of scientific integrity nor the image of psychiatry upheld by the association." I accept that this statement can be made and argued, but I also maintain that upon scrutiny this statement should be seen as euphemistic.

The common theme of the presentations was organised abuse. My authorship was signed as expert by experience and I am an outsider to the EPA. My involvement has arisen due to working with Dr Kurz on the investigation and criminal profiling of organised abuse networks and my knowledge base is built upon my experience as a survivor of cult abuse.

Unfortunately the funded organisations dedicated to the prevention of organised abuse are notable by their rarity. This is why the methods we have used pro-bono as ordinary citizens are those used by criminal investigators and based on intelligence gathering and analysis. This involves primarily the assessment of the testimonies of victims and abuse organisation insiders using cross-referencing, historical and political analysis and profiling of the organisations, their modus operandi and motivations. The resources and network for doing classical scientific studies of organised abuse networks are simply not available to volunteer researchers. Also there are behaviours specific to criminal organisations that reduce their accessibility to be studied in an experimental manner, and the ability to generate useful data is limited. This is why it is possible to say that the required standard of scientific integrity has not been adhered to.

The image of psychiatry is important for its backing and funding by and through academia and government. Also the aetiology and treatment of mental illnesses are important factors in the business model of psychiatry. My experience has lead me to believe that covert manipulation of the public, especially of children, is a major cause of altered development and of mental illnesses. This is a troubling proposition which is supported in the posters. Psychiatric practise however demonstrates that organised criminality is considered to have a relatively minor role in the provocation of psychopathology. This weighting is reflected in how psychiatry is perceived, and the perception of psychiatry would change if this weighting were also to change. This is why the presentations are uneasy companions to the euphemistic term ‘the image of psychiatry’.
I must also address the problem of reported anomalous experiences which featured in the poster we wrote. Some reports sound strange and unexpected at first such as accounts of advanced technology or of non-human genetics. Sadly and unwillingly my research has forced me to conclude that most anomalous experiences reported by survivors and whistle-blowers of organised abuse are true and factually accurate. Testimonies from the set of self-identified survivors of scientifically structured abuse performed with military objectives are consistent, coherent and detailed in descriptions of procedures, modus operandi, perpetrator identities, locations and organisations. As stated in a draft version of the poster "The events reported are not compatible with the predictions of materialist scientific orthodoxy and raise fundamental questions. The work of forensic historians Daniel Estulin, Colin Ross, Melissa Dykes, James Corbett and Anthony C. Sutton document the generation of false historical concepts. This of course leads to insecure predictions and the generation of a middle ground between the expected and the actual." I suggest therefore that so called anomalous reports instead of being discarded a priori could be put conceptually into the grey area, for subsequent evaluation and/or investigation.

I hope that you accept this letter as being written in good will, and that it is helpful for assuaging the concerns and the complaint that have arisen.

Yours sincerely,

Declan Howard,
Expert by experience.